3rd Year Group Project Feedback - Deliverable 1

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Number of Group:** | Group 1 / C.A.X. | **Date:** | 09/04/2014 |
| **Name of Assessor:** | Eras Botha | **Customer:** | Eras Botha |

**CUSTOMER :** pass your completed form and the Deliverable to the manager.

**MANAGER :** complete form, give feedback to the group, send forms to project director

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Feedback** |
| **Delivery**  Submitted on time?  Signed off by Customer? | Student got my sign off and submitted on time and the biggest reason for this is due to regular meetings. |
| **Document Quality**  Organisation  Table of contents; structure  Presentation  Spelling; grammar; tables; charts;  diagrams; layout | Overall quality of their documentation is good even though you can see it is separate documents. |
| **Product Requirements**  Aims, Objectives, Scope clearly stated?  Requirements clearly defined?  Functional requirements?  Other constraints?  Grouped sensibly, numbered?  Did the Requirements Specification meet customer needs? | Product requirements is mostly met as a top-down approach is followed. More requirements will be discovered as the project continues and some will be thrown out. |
| **Initial GUI proposals**  Contains sensible layout and navigation for complex components. | GUI proposals are not very modern or professional but this is something that can be changed as soon as the project is in it final stages. |
|  | **PTO** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Plan**  Shows details of first SCRUM sprint, including spreadsheet with sprint backlog?  Suggests how other features will be divided into future sprints?  Realistic estimates for completion times?  Focusses on functionality as well as documentation? | Due to the nature of this project and the items that is required in the deliverables made the Group adopt a Waterfall model is terms of the deliverables. |
| **Risk Management**  Realistic risks?  Suitable strategies?  Relevant for the student project ? | Risks are realistic but the strategies won’t solve the problems as effectively as they think. |
| **Project Costing**  Sensible cost estimations?  Staff time for Development   and evaluation;  Inclusion of any extra hardware or  proprietary software costs  Sound calculation of overall budget?  Details provided to justify or explain overall figures? | Realistic cost estimations where done but did add additional equipment that they may not even use. Overall budget calculations has been done but a month to month calculation wasn’t done. |
| **Curriculum Vitae**  Completeness  Organisation  Presentation | CV’s are uniform and attractive. |
| **Other Comments** | The group is working together well but they will run into problems when they start building the application. |